

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/777,053 02/10/2004		02/10/2004	John J.L. Simard	MANNK.022ČI	3659	
20995	7590	06/02/2006		EXAMINER		
		IS OLSON & BEA	VANDERVEGT	VANDERVEGT, FRANCOIS P		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN)R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614			1644			
				DATE MAILED: 06/02/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action . Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/777,053	SIMARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644	

	F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: 	on the same day as filing a Notice of the same day as filing a Notice of Willer (1) an amendment, so tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other eviden or compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the 	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in t		er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Ŋ.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherance patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any end of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef, will not be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		,	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ched.
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s). <u>02</u> 092006, 03	132006
13. 7. Other:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that the amendment to claim 1 in the amendment filed October 3, 2005 does not constiute new matter because the Examiner admits in the final Office Action that paragraph [0011]] supports the recitation of the isolated nucleic acid encode less than the complete SSX-2 antigen. While this is true regarding SSX-2, the recitation does not support the expansion of support to other tumor antigens. As written, the claim encompasses epitopes from any tumor antigen being included in the epitope cluster(s), provided that at least one of the clusters comprises an SSX-2 epitope. Howeever, the claims are not limited to SSX-2 epitopes. The phrase "comprises a first sequence" opens the claim to include second and subsequent sequences, but only the first sequence is limited to "imcluding" an epitope cluster from SSX-2. The open terminology also applies to dependent claims reciting SSX-2 sequences that constitute the epitope cluster of the "first sequence."

CHRISTINA CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

2